Case 4:17-cr-00053-A Document 43 Filed 10/25/17 Page 1 of 15 PageID 144 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 FORT WORTH DIVISION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 3 . 4:17-CR-053-A-1 4 V. . Fort Worth, Texas 5 . August 11, 2017 JOSE RAMIREZ 6 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 (Sentencing Hearing) BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN MCBRYDE 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 11 12 13 14 **APPEARANCES:** 15 For the Government: MR. BRIAN POE United States Attorney's Office 16 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1700 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6897 17 (817) 252-5200 For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL A. LEHMANN 18 Federal Public Defender 19 819 Taylor Street, Room 9A10 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 20 (817) 978-2753 21 Court Reporter: MS. ANA P. WARREN U.S. District Court Reporter 22 501 W. 10th Street, Room 502 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3637 23 (817) 850-6681 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript 25 produced by computer-aided transcription.

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Commencing, 9:25 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: Okay. I'm now calling for sentencing 4 Number 4:17-CR-053-A. It's United States of America versus 5 Jose Ramirez. Mr. Poe is here for the government, and 6 Mr. Lehmann is here for the defendant. 7 And I'll have the defendant state his full name for the 8 record. 9 DEFENDANT RAMIREZ: Jose R. Ramirez. 10 THE COURT: You appeared before me --11 DEFENDANT RAMIREZ: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Let me get the exact date. 13 On April 28, 2017, you appeared before me with 14 Mr. Lehmann, and you pleaded quilty to the offense charged by 15 the one count superseding information in this case, and that 16 was the offense of possession with intent to distribute a 17 controlled substance. Of course, we're here today for sentencing based on the conviction that resulted from that 18 plea of guilty. 19 20 Mr. Lehmann, did you and your client receive in a timely 21 manner the presentence report and the addendum to it? 22 MR. LEHMANN: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Did both of you read those items and then 2.4 discuss them with each other? 25 MR. LEHMANN: Yes, Your Honor.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. There were some objections to the presentence report. I tentatively concluded they were without merit, and I indicated that in an order I signed August 4.

Do you still want to pursue any of those objections?

MR. LEHMANN: Your Honor, we have no evidence to offer to the Court at this time. We would rest on the pleadings as they read and simply prepare for argument.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll overrule Objection

Number 1 that has to do with an enhancement under Section

2B1.1(b)(12). The objection is to Paragraphs 23 and 30. I'm satisfied that the probation officer correctly concluded that the increase was appropriate under the facts of this case. So I adopt the findings and conclusions of the probation officer on that subject.

Let's see. The next objection is to Paragraphs 39 and 40 of the presentence report. As I read the objection, it's saying the Court should not take into account the information provided in those paragraphs in sentencing because it does not constitute offense conduct or relevant conduct.

I don't believe that conduct was taken into account in determining what the sentencing guideline ranges are.

MR. LEHMANN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But it's something that the Court certainly can and should consider in determining what sentence

to impose. So I overrule that objection.

2.0

Let's see. Objection Number 3, that has to do with the suggestion by the probation officer that a sentence above the top of the guideline range would be appropriate in this case if the Court were to conclude that it should be -- that such a sentence should be imposed.

I overrule that objection because I tentatively concluded that there should be a sentence above the top of the advisory guideline range, but I'm going to hear from the defendant and Mr. Lehmann on that subject.

I believe that takes care of all the objections, doesn't it, Mr. Lehmann?

MR. LEHMANN: It does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There being no further objections to the presentence report, the Court adopts as the fact findings of the Court the facts set forth in the presentence report as modified or supplemented by the addendum, and the Court adopts as its conclusions of the Court the conclusions expressed in the presentence report as modified or supplemented by the addendum.

Now, in both instances, the issue of whether there should be a sentence above the top of the guideline range is still an open issue, and I'm going to hear from defendant's counsel and the defendant on that subject.

The Court concludes that the Total Offense Level is 35.

That the Criminal History Category is I. That the
imprisonment range is 168 to 210 months. That the supervised
release range is three years. That the fine range is \$40,000
to \$1 million, and that a special assessment of \$100 is

Okay. Mr. Lehmann, you can make whatever statement you would like to make on behalf of your client at this time -- well, first of all, do you have any evidence that you want to offer on the subject of a possible sentence above the top of the guideline range?

MR. LEHMANN: No evidence, Your Honor, just argument.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and make whatever statement you want to make on behalf of your client at this time and include anything you want to say about the possibility of an upward variance.

MR. LEHMANN: Thank you.

mandatory.

Your Honor, this case warrants a substantial downward variance. Mr. Ramirez is 52 years old and has zero criminal history points. As the sentencing commission has stated, recidivism rates are most closely correlated with total criminal history points. So people like Mr. Ramirez pose the least risk of recidivating when compared to any other type of offender.

For all the reasons laid out in the sentencing memo,

doubts have been cast on the efficacy of the methamphetamine guidelines, and Courts are routinely granting downward variances acknowledging these deficiencies. Higher purity does not equal higher culpability, and the treatment between the intoxicant and non-intoxicant parts that are included in the labs don't distinguish the difference. It is all punished under 2D1.1.

The PSR and the Court's tentative order cite the possibility of an upward departure. If we stretched our imaginations to believe that a violation of 922(g) could have occurred here, we can play that out logically and realize that the guidelines would take into account the same offense conduct. It would invoke the cross reference to the drugs, and then the result would be exactly the same with no enhanced penalty, and that's just playing devil's advocate because the facts are simply insufficient to support a violation of 922(g).

What little evidence does exist about Mr. Ramirez's prior drug use was gleaned in the PSR interview, not as an investigation by law enforcement in this case. I question the bait and switch policy of offering drug rehabilitation programs just to punish people in need for the candor that they give our probation department.

Most importantly, however, is that Mr. Ramirez is a good man as evidenced by all of the people, all of the families

- here today in the gallery, if they could stand up and please be recognized.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for being here. You can be seated.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- MR. LEHMANN: These are members of his family and his extended family that have come to lend their support to him.

  He has spent his life making an honest living, an honest paycheck, as an oil refinery worker and as a plumber. As a young man, he was a two-time Golden Glove boxing champion, all without a tenth finger.
  - He is the proud father of four successful children, who now as young adults, ages 21 through 32, have their own lives. He has helped his daughter battle cancer. He's helped one of his sons win a Golden Glove himself.
  - Four years ago Mr. Ramirez started breaking down. He had hip replacement surgery. He needs a second surgery for a hernia, and he is disabled. He is infirmed.
  - Your Honor, Mr. Ramirez has spent the majority of his life doing the right thing. For these reasons, we urge the Court to consider a downward variance in this case.
  - And we would like to call three character witnesses to address the Court.
- THE COURT: You mean somebody to speak from the podium?
- 25 MR. LEHMANN: Correct, Your Honor.

- THE COURT: That's fine. You can.
- 2 MR. LEHMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- THE COURT: Have them all three come up at the same time.
- 5 You pick the sequence in which you want them to speak.
- 6 MR. LEHMANN: Your Honor, it doesn't matter to me.
- 7 THE COURT: Let's have the young lady come up first.
- 8 Why don't you say what your name is and what city you live 9 in.
- MS. RAMIREZ: My name is -- (unintelligible) Ramirez, and I live in Corpus Christi, Texas.
  - THE COURT: Okay. Make whatever statement you would like to make on behalf of the defendant.
    - MS. RAMIREZ: I'm not up here to beg that there not be justice. I understand the severity of the charges my dad is being charged with. I understand that there needs to be justice, and I agree that there needs to be justice, but what I'm asking for, Judge, is -- praying for is -- I'm praying for a lesser sentence so he can see his grandson grow up. I'm asking that there be room for restoration and reconciliation in our lives, not only while he's in prison but outside of prison.

I pray that there would be room for me to have a real relationship with my father outside of prison. I understand that we've had years to develop that, but we've come short.

We've fallen short, but I believe that there is a God who can 1 2 come and bring restoration and reconciliation in our lives, 3 and I believe that, despite the sentence, that whether or not 4 he gets grace here in this courtroom today on his sentence, 5 that God alone will give him grace and mercy in whatever 6 situation he's been placed in. And I know that there is no 7 pick so deep that God can't reach. So I am praying that you 8 would partner with God and leave room for grace and 9 restoration in our lives. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 11 MS. RAMIREZ: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: The one on my right, why don't you come up now and say what your name is and what city you live in. 13 14 MR. J. RAMIREZ: My name is Jonathan Ramirez. I live 15 in Corpus Christi, Texas. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Make whatever statement you would 17 like to make. MR. J. RAMIREZ: I'm the youngest of -- his youngest 18 son. Like my sister just said, I just pray for grace over his 19 20 sentencing. Now, I still think of my dad as Superman. 21 always stayed tough and strong and helped me through a lot of 22 my own battles. I know he can pull through this like he's 23 pulled through a lot of struggles in his life. It's good to 24 see him here today.

But, yeah, I just, you know, pray for grace over his

- sentence and him. I know when this is all over that he will come out stronger and better. That's it.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- Okay. Why don't you come forward and say what your name is and what city you live?
- 6 MR. A. RAMIREZ: My name is Apolinar Ramirez, and I
  7 live in the Colony, Texas. I'm Joe's oldest brother.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay.
- 9 MR. A. RAMIREZ: I just want to say a couple things
  10 about him.
- Joe might not look like a very good person, but he is a
  good person. He has a good heart. He's been a good father, I
  believe a good father, good son, good brother and good
  neighbor. I just want -- I'm just hoping that you will be
  lenient with him because I do not want him to die in prison.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 MR. A. RAMIREZ: Thank you.

21

22

23

24

- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you and your client come back to the podium.
  - MR. LEHMANN: Now, Mr. Ramirez would like to address the Court, Your Honor.
    - THE COURT: Mr. Ramirez, you have the right to make any statement or presentation you would like to make on the subject mitigation, that is, the things you think the Court should take into account on the subject of sentencing more

generally, and I'll invite you at this time to do that.

DEFENDANT RAMIREZ: First of all, I want to thank my family and friends and tell them I love them all.

I done a mistake. We all do them. That would be it.

THE COURT: Is that it?

DEFENDANT RAMIREZ: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you have a disturbing history in that you had in your possession at the time of -- I think they found it at the time of your arrest, at least -- I believe that's when they found the firearms, and you admitted that they were yours. It was a .40 caliber pistol that had been stolen and other weapons. It was unlawful for you to possess those weapons because you were addicted to and used -- at least you used controlled substances.

Fortunately, for you your criminal record is not as bad as I often encounter. My tentative conclusion was that you get a sentence above the top of the advisory guideline range, but your attorney has been very persuasive. So I've changed my mind on that. As a matter of fact, I've decided I'm going to go about midway between the bottom and top of your advisory guideline imprisonment range.

The range is 168 to 210 months. Actually, I'm going to go a little bit below the mid-range. I'm going to give you a sentence of imprisonment of 180 months, and that will be combined with a term of supervised release of three years, and

that will start, of course, when you've completed your sentence of imprisonment, and you will be obligated to pay a special assessment of \$100. That's payable at the time of sentencing.

I've concluded that a sentence of the kind I've just described is one that will adequately and appropriately address all the factors the Court should consider at sentencing under 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a). So that's the sentence I'm going to impose.

The Court orders and adjudges that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to serve a term of imprisonment of 180 months. I'm also ordering that the defendant serve a term of supervised release of three years to start once he's completed his sentence of imprisonment.

Now, the conditions of that supervised release will be the standard conditions that will be set forth in the judgment of conviction and sentence and the following additional conditions:

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer and as authorized by the Justice for All Act of 2014 -- or 2004. I'm sorry.

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance and shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as directed by the probation officer pursuant to the mandatory drug testing provision of the 1994 Crime Bill.

The defendant shall participate in a program approved by the probation officer for the treatment of narcotic or drug or alcohol dependency. That will include testing for the detection of substance use, and he shall abstain from the use of alcohol and all other intoxicants during and after completion of that treatment and contribute to the costs of those services at the rate of at least \$25 a month.

I'm also ordering that the defendant pay a special assessment of \$100. That's payable immediately to the United States of America through the Office of the Clerk of the Court here in Fort Worth.

Mr. Ramirez, you have the right to appeal from the sentence I've imposed if you're dissatisfied with it. That appeal would be to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. You have the right to appeal in forma pauperis. That means without any cost to you if you qualify for it. Presumably, you would.

You would have the right to have the clerk of the Court file a notice of appeal for you, and the clerk will do that

```
14
      forthwith if you were to specifically request it.
 1
 2
          You and your attorney have been given a form that outlines
 3
      certain rights and obligations in reference to an appeal. If
 4
      you haven't already done so, I want the two of you to review
 5
      it. Be sure you understand it, and once both of you are
 6
      satisfied you understand it, I want both of you to sign it and
 7
      return it to the Court coordinator.
 8
          Has that been done, Mr. Lehmann?
 9
               MR. LEHMANN: It has, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. The defendant is remanded to
10
11
      custody.
12
          Don't we have an indictment still pending, Mr. Poe, that
      needs to be dealt with?
13
14
               MR. POE: Yes, Your Honor. We would ask -- we move
15
      to dismiss the pending indictments.
16
               THE COURT: Is he the only defendant named in the
17
      indictment?
               MR. POE: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll order the indictment
19
20
      dismissed pursuant to the government's motion.
21
          Okay. The defendant's remanded to custody, and you're
22
      excused, Mr. Lehmann.
23
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. LEHMANN:
24
          (End of proceedings, 9:45 a.m.)
```

-000-

Case 4:17-cr-00053-A Document 43 Filed 10/25/17 Page 15 of 15 PageID 158 CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and that the transcript was prepared by me and under my supervision. s/ Ana P. Warren October 25, 2017 Ana P. Warren, CSR #2302 Date U.S. District Court Reporter -000-